1	RICHARD A. SCHONFELD, ESQ.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 6815		
3	CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD 520 South Fourth Street		
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
4	Telephone: (702)384-5563		
5	rschonfeld@cslawoffice.net		
6	Attorney for Defendant, SALEUMKIAT KAYARATH		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)		
9)		
10	Plaintiff,		
11	v. 2:15-cr-305-GMN-GWF		
12) 2:14-cr-353-GMN-GWF		
13	SALEUMKIAT KAYARATH, et al.		
	Defendant.		
14			
15	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE THE HEARING RE REVOCATION OF SUPERVISED		
16	RELEASE SCHEDULED FOR APRIL 2, 2024 AT 11:00 A.M.		
17	,		
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the United States of		
19	America by and through Assistant United States Attorney David C. Kiehler, Esq. and Defendant		
20	SALEUMKIAT KAYARATH , by and through his attorney Richard A. Schonfeld, Esq., that the		
21	Revocation of Supervised Release Hearing currently scheduled for April 2, 2024, in this matter be		
22			
23	vacated and continued to a date and time after August 19, 2024, as Defendant's state trial was		
24	continued to Assess 10, 2024 or that he could attend a cottlement conference		
25			
26	1. The parties have met and conferred and believe that for judicial economy purposes		
27			
28	the revocation proceedings should be continued until after August 19, 2024, so that the case of <i>Sta</i>		
	of Nevada v. Kayarath C-23-374424-1, which forms the basis for the highest level violation in the		

1	Petition, can proceed to disposition. That case is scheduled	for trial on August 19, 2024;		
2	2. The Defendant and the State of Nevada have	requested a Judicial Settlement		
3	Conference in the case of <i>State of Nevada v. Kayarath</i> , which may lead to a resolution of that case.			
5	If that case is resolved, it could help the parties in this case to facilitate a resolution;			
6	6 3. That Counsel for Defendant has been in comm	nunication with Assistant United States		
7	Attorney David C. Kiebler and there is no objection to the continuance as outlined above;			
8	4. The Defendant consents to the continuance being sought herein;			
9 10	9			
		dance would result in a imsearrage of		
12	12			
13	6. The additional time requested in this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time			
14	within which the trial must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States			
15	Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(D) and 3161(h)(7)(A) when considering the factors under Title 18, United			
16	States code, Sections 5 To T(h)(7)(E)(1) and 5 To T(h)(7)(E)(17),			
17	DATED this 26 th day of March, 2024.			
18 19	LINITED STATES ATTODNEY CHES	NOFF & SCHONFELD		
20		hard A. Schonfeld		
21	7 I III	ARD A. SCHONFELD, ESQ. uth Fourth Street		
22	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Las Ve	gas, Nevada 89101 ey for Defendant, Saleumkiat Kayarath		
23	a a	02) 384-5563		
24				
25				
26 27	••••			
28				

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

Having considered the Stipulation of the Parties, and good cause being shown, the Court hereby finds and Orders as follows:

- 1. The parties have met and conferred and believe that for judicial economy purposes the revocation proceedings should be continued until after August 19, 2024, so that the case of *State of Nevada v. Kayarath* C-23-374424-1, which forms the basis for the highest level violation in the Petition, can proceed to disposition. That case is scheduled for trial on August 19, 2024;
- 2. The Defendant and the State of Nevada have requested a Judicial Settlement Conference in the case of *State of Nevada v. Kayarath*, which may lead to a resolution of that case. If that case is resolved, it could help the parties in this case to facilitate a resolution;
- 3. That Counsel for Defendant has been in communication with Assistant United States Attorney David C. Kiebler and there is no objection to the continuance as outlined above;
 - 4. The Defendant consents to the continuance being sought herein;
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice;
- 6. The additional time requested in this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(D) and 3161(h)(7)(A) when considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(I) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv);

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Revocation of Supervised Release Hearing		
2	currently scheduled for April 2, 2024 at the hour of 11:00 a.m. be continued to $\frac{9/4/24 \text{ at } 9 \text{ AM}}{2}$.		
3			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5	DATED this 26 day of March, 2024.		
6	Minu		
7	GLORIA/M. NAVARRO		
8	Submitted by: UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
9			
10	/s/ Richard A. Schonfeld RICHARD A. SCHONFELD, ESQ.		
11	Nevada Bar No. 6815		
12	520 South Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
13	rschonfeld@cslawoffice.net		
14	Attorney for Defendant		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			